

60509

OFFICE OF THE  
CHIEF COUNSEL  
DEPT. OF TRANSPORTATION  
RULES DOCKET DOCKET SECTION

**Date:** 1/19/99 4:59 PM

1999 JUL 12 A 11: 09 AUG -2 AM 11: 16

**Sender:** aeromod@IX.NETCOM.COM

**To:** 9-NPRM-CMTS

**Priority:** Normal

**Subject:** Comments: Docket FAA-1998-4731 - 7

Attached please find comments, in .pdf form, to the above referenced Docket.

Regards,

Richard S. Adler  
Aeromod Services, Inc.



FAA\_1998.PDF



---

January 19, 1999

Docket No. FAA-1 998-4731

Notice No. 98-16

Comments:

Section **G36.107** Noise Measurement Procedures

There is no objection to the proposed change. Using a ground plane microphone provides data which are applicable to both FAA and **ICAO** certification activities, eliminating duplication of equipment or testing. The additional equipment **requirem** is negligible.

Section G36.201 Corrections to Test Results

G36.201 (b)

Object to the proposed change on the basis that it harmonizes in the wrong direction. This section should be placed on the list for JAR 36 harmonization with FAR 36.

If we examine the existing FAA and **ICAO** noise rules, we find that the only rule **whi** does not have a primary or absolute acoustical reference day **6670%RH** **77** is Annex 16, Chapter 10. All of the other noise rules, to include FAR 36 Appendix **A** Current Appendix G, Appendix H, Appendix J, **ICAO** Annex 16 Chapter 3, Chapter **4** and Chapter 8, **use** **770%RH** as the primary or absolute acoustical reference day.

Based on my experience, first as the Chicago **ACO** Noise Certification Specialist, and subsequently as an Acoustical DER, I am unaware of an instance of confusion and delay caused by the difference in performance and acoustic reference conditions, **a** mentioned in the Notice.

G36.201 (c)

There is no objection to the proposed change.

G36.201 (d)(l)

The proposed change to the equation for atmospheric absorption is indeed more accurate. However, if the comments provided for section 36.201 (b) above are adopted, the 0.7 constant in the equation would need to be changed to 0.9, which is

7268 Cimmeron Dr. Middletown, OH 45044-9670  
Phone / Fax (513) 779480



the proper constant for ~~5770~~**770**%RH reference day. The equation currently published in FAR 36, Appendix **G** is incorrect for the current acoustic reference day and has been for more than 10 years. The current published equation, using a 0.7 constant, actually corrects ~~to 770~~**to 770**%RH, resulting in a 0.2 **dB** error which is detrimental to the applicant.

G36.201 (d)(4)

There is no objection to the proposed change, The option to determine the value of **K<sub>3</sub>** experimentally, ~~as is allowed for each~~ **as is allowed for each** corrections, is a welcome addition to the rule.

#### Section G36.301 Aircraft Noise Limits

G36.301

There is no objection to the proposed change to the noise limits associated with the use of a ground plane microphone.

There is no objection to the proposed change to the method of calculating noise lim within the **variable** range. In fact, in some cases in the variable range, a change to based weights is slightly relieving in nature compared to current appendix G. It is suggested that, to **avoid confusion** for those of us who **are** logarithmically challenge the exact equation for noise levels within the variable range be published in append G. Something on the order of: **-26.23+32.76log(W)**, where W is the aircraft weight, in pounds, is suggested.

I hope that you find these comments useful. If you require further information or wish to discuss these comments, please feel free to contact me by phone or fax.

Regards,

Richard S. Adler  
Aeromod Services, Inc.  
FAA DER - Acoustics, FAR 21 Management, Flight Analyst

7268Cimmeron Dr. Middletown, OH 45044-9670  
Phone / Fax (513) 779-4080